



Extended Abstract

Vol 17, Issue 2, Summer 2025, Ser 64

Object Between Icon and Word: A Study of one of Mawlana's Ghazals in Connection with Aflaki's Report on it, based on Myth and Iconography

Mostafa Sedighi* 

Introduction

In *Manaqib al-Arifin*, Aflaki narrates a story about Gorji Khatun, a courtier and a disciple of Mawlana (Rumi). Because of travel and her distance from Mawlana, she asks the painter of her time to paint a portrait of Mawlana, to ease the pain of distance by looking at his image. But every time the painter paints a picture of Mawlana, he finds it different from the previous picture. Mawlana wrote the ghazal "How colorless and unmarked I am" in connection with this event. Whenever the connection between literature and painting is discussed in research, it refers to the illustrations of the events of stories. But in this research, literature and painting have a dialectical relationship, along with the subject-object that constantly moves between these two realms, there are two sign systems or two discourses that are in opposition to each other. These events are received from Mawlana and Aflaki's reports, and they show a process that represents two different discourses.

Methodology

This research, with a descriptive and analytical approach based on mythology, iconography, and painting, examines and analyzes Mawlana's ghazal in conjunction with Aflaki's report. This analysis, while paying attention to the art and mythology of Iran, India and the Far East, makes use of the ideas of Jung, Corbin, Burckhardt, Genette, Foucault, as well as the discussion of super-ego in Mawlana's ghazal from Pournamdarian. Since part of the discussion is related to the history and origin of symbolic painting and the image of man in this period, which is presented in the form of a paratext (Aflaki's report) alongside Mawlana's words, these topics will also be addressed. The aim of the research is to draw a relationship between the three realms of literature, painting, and historical report, and to show how the discourses formed confront and combine in the interaction between Aflaki's report and Mawlana's ghazal.

* Associate Prof in Persian Language and Literature, Hormozgan University, Bandarabbas, Iran.
Navisa_man@yahoo.com

DOI: 10.22099/JBA.2025.51494.4577



COPYRIGHTS ©2021 The author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY-NC 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, as long as the original authors and source are cited. No permission is required from the authors or the publisher.

Results and Discussion

In the confrontation of the three realms of literature, painting, and historical report, it can be said that Mawlana's ghazal is like a commentary on a painting and also the denial of those images. Mawlana considers the transcendental signifier to be inimitable. It is as if each image deconstructs the previous one. From this perspective, literature and painting represent a sliding subject-object relationship in a dialectical fashion, with two discourses that have different origins and cause a process of exclusion and combination in the three realms of language, painting, and historical report. This process is the result of two discourses that have an extratextual confrontation. The first discourse is related to the Gorji Khatoon who is looking for a portrait of Mawlana. This discourse is in favor of representation and has its roots in Christian iconography. And the second discourse is linked to Mawlana, who is against representation. On the one hand, this discourse is rooted in the ritual prohibition of images, and on the other hand, from a Sufi perspective, it considers the representation of the ideal human being as impossible. The fluid images in the painter's paintings also show that it is impossible to depict the body connected to the ideal human being. These two discourses reach a kind of correspondence in the realm of language. The first discourse, while distinguishing between the image, which has a physical aspect, and the icon, which is linked to an ideal aspect, transforms the icon into the word; and in the second discourse, the correspondence of man/language is formed. Language is not the body and skin for meaning, rather an idealized aspect of language that is timeless.

Conclusion

The results of the research show that in the three realms of literature, painting, historical reporting, and intratextual and extratextual confrontations, the structure of exclusion and combination prevails. Extratextual confrontations are based on two discourses, one (Gorji Khatun) believing in the representation of images and iconography, and the other (Mawlana) considering representation impossible. Intratextual contrasts, also, indicate a transition to a distinct state from contrasts. And finally, extra-textual confrontations with the transformation of icon into word in the first discourse and the formation of man-language in the second discourse, and in connection with that, intratextual confrontations also enter the timeless common realm of language. Such combination and similarity are the result of the elimination and rejection of image, time, and language (as clothes and skin for meaning).

Keywords: Sonnets of Shams, Aflaki, iconography, mythology

References:

- Abbasi, A. (2011). *Structures of the imagination system from the perspective of Gilbert Durand*. Tehran: Elmi va Farhangi. [in Persian]
- Aflaki, Shams al-Din Ahmad. (1983). *Manaqib al-Arefin*. Tehran: Dunyaye Ketab. [in Persian]
- Akhavan Aghdam, N. (2019). *Iconography in the Sasanian period*. Tehran: Farhangestan Honar. [in Persian]
- Akhgar, M. (2012). *Mortal and survival: A critical introduction to the study of Iranian painting*. Tehran: Herfeh Honarmand. [in Persian]
- Allen, G. (2001). *Intertextuality* (P. Yazdanjoo, Trans.). Tehran: Markaz. [in Persian]
- Attar Neyshabouri, Farid al-Din. (1974). *Mantiq al-Tair* (M. J. Mashkoor, Ed. & Intro.). Tehran: Ketabfroshi Tehran. [in Persian]
- Baloo, F., & Rezapour, R. (2011). An analysis of Logos (language) in Shams' *Masnawi* and ghazals with regard to Heidegger's ontological approach. *Bostan Adab*, 49, 53–78. <https://doi.org/10.22099/jba.2020.36712.3712> [in Persian]
- Barthes, R. (2010). *Camera lucida: Reflections on photography* (F. Azarang, Trans.). Tehran: Herfeh Nevisande. [in Persian]

- Białosztoki, J. (2006). *Dictionary of the history of thoughts: Entry on iconography* (S. Hosseini, Trans.). Tehran: Soaad. [in Persian]
- Bilsker, R. (2012). *On Jung* (H. Payandeh, Trans.). Tehran: Farhang Javid. [in Persian]
- Bryson, N. (2019). *Vision and painting* (M. Habibzadeh, Trans.). Tehran: Herfeh Nevisande. [in Persian]
- Burckhardt, T. (2000). *Sacred art* (J. Sattari, Trans.). Tehran: Soroush. [in Persian]
- Cassirer, E. (2008). *Language and myth* (M. Salasi, Trans.). Tehran: Morvarid. [in Persian]
- Corbin, H. (2013). *The luminous man in Iranian Sufism* (F. Javaherinia, Trans.). Shiraz: Amouzegar Kherad & Gulban. [in Persian]
- Daneshvar, S. (2006). *Cognition and appreciation of art* (Vol. 1). Tehran: Ketab Siamak. [in Persian]
- Frye, N. (2010). *The codex, the Holy Bible and literature* (S. Hosseini, Trans.). Tehran: Niloufer. [in Persian]
- Galarsa, J. (1995). Languages full of color (M. Islamiyeh, Trans.). *UNESCO Message (United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization)*, 12–14. [in Persian]
- Hall, J. (2001). *A visual dictionary of symbols* (R. Behzadi, Trans.). Tehran: Farhang Moaser. [in Persian]
- Hall, S. (2012). *Meaning, culture and social life* (A. Golmohammadi, Trans.). Tehran: Nei. [in Persian]
- Iqbal Ashteyani, A. (2005). *Mongol history* (Ch. 8). Tehran: Amir Kabir. [in Persian]
- Izutsu, T. (2000). The role of color in the art and philosophy of the Far East (N. Virani, Trans.). *Kayhan Farhangi*, (169), 58–64. [in Persian]
- Kait, H. J. K. (2005). *Manichaean art* (A. Esmailpour, Trans.). Tehran: Karnameh. [in Persian]
- Kanani, E., Vahdanifar, O., & Safikhani, A. (2021). The gesture and transcendence of the subject in a lyric by Maulana. *Bostan Adab*, 53, 267–296. <https://doi.org/10.22099/jba.2022.40960.4060> [in Persian]
- Khaghani, Afzal al-Din. (2006). *Divan* (J. E. Kazazi, Ed.). Tehran: Markaz. [in Persian]
- Kharazian, N. (2012). Passing through the worlds after death by appealing to the images of gods. In A. Abbasi (Ed.), *Collection of articles on imagination and death in literature and art*. Tehran: Sokhan. [in Persian]
- Masoudi, H. (1995). The art of calligraphy. *UNESCO Message (United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization)*, 15–17. [in Persian]
- Mawlavi, J. M. (1993). *Fihi ma fihi* (J. Modarres Sadeghi, Ed.). Tehran: Markaz. [in Persian]
- Mawlavi, J. M. (2007). *Ghazals of Shams* (B. Forouzanfar, Ed.). Tehran: Dostan. [in Persian]
- Mawlavi, J. M. (2008). *Masnavi* (K. Zamani, Commentary & Interpretation). Tehran: Ettelaat. [in Persian]
- Mills, S. (2013). *Michel Foucault* (M. Nouri, Trans.). Tehran: Markaz. [in Persian]
- Mohammadi Asiabadi, A. (2008). *Hermeneutics and symbolism in Ghazals of Shams*. Tehran: Sokhan. [in Persian]
- Nasr, S. H. (2011). Sacred art in Iranian culture. In S. M. Avini (Trans.), *Collection of essays on immortality and art*. Tehran: Barg. [in Persian]
- Nasri, A. (2018). *Image and word: Approaches to iconography*. Tehran: Cheshmeh. [in Persian]
- Nojomian, A. A. (2015). *Sign on the threshold*. Tehran: Nashr No & Asim. [in Persian]
- Ouspensky, L., & Levski, V. (2009). *The meaning of icons* (M. Davoudi, Trans.). Tehran: Soreh Mehr. [in Persian]
- Pakbaz, R. (2006). *Iranian painting*. Tehran: Zarin & Simin. [in Persian]
- Pashaei, A. (2010). *The book of Buddha*. Tehran: Tahori. [in Persian]
- Polyakova, Y. A., & Rahimova, Z. A. (2002). *Iranian painting and literature* (Z. Feyzi, Trans.). Tehran: Roozaneh. [in Persian]
- Pournamdarian, T. (2001). *In the shadow of the sun: Persian poetry and deconstruction in Maulana's poetry*. Tehran: Sokhan. [in Persian]

- Rank, O. (2014). *The double* (S. Moghadam, Trans.). Tehran: Afkar. [in Persian]
- Ringenberg, P. (2014). *Comprehensive history of Iran* (Vol. 18, K. Mousavi-Bojnoordi, Ed.). Tehran: Center for the Great Islamic Encyclopedia. [in Persian]
- Samini, N. (2009). *Wars and bodies*. Tehran: Nei. [in Persian]
- Schimmel, A. (1996). *The splendor of Shams* (H. Lahooti, Trans.). Tehran: Elmi Farhangi. [in Persian]
- Shaeiri, H. R. (2012). Semiotic analysis of ecstasy in literary discourse. *Literary Research*, (36–37), 129–146. <http://lire.modares.ac.ir/article-41-9626-fa.html> [in Persian]
- Shaeiri, H. R., & Kanani, E. (2015). Ontological semiotics from interaction to transcendence based on Maulana's discourse of the Romans and Chinese. *Linguistic Investigations*, 6(2), 173–195. <http://lrr.modares.ac.ir/article-14-6413-fa.html> [in Persian]
- Shamisa, S. (2018). *History of the development of Persian prose*. Tehran: Samt. [in Persian]
- Shaygan, D. (2002). *Idols of the mind and perennial memory*. Tehran: Amir Kabir. [in Persian]
- Sims, C. (2021). *Paul Ricoeur* (M. H. Khosravi, Trans.). Tehran: Kargadan. [in Persian]
- Von Franz, M. L. (1980). The process of individuality. In *Man and his symbols*. Tehran: Ketab Paya. [in Persian]
- Yaffe, A. L. (2010). Symbolism in the visual arts. In *Man and his symbols*. Tehran: Ketab Paya. [in Persian]
- Zaimaran, M. (1999). *Michel Foucault's knowledge and power*. Tehran: Hermes. [in Persian]
- Zarghani, M. (2018). *History of the body*. Tehran: Sokhan. [in Persian]
- Zarrinkoob, A. (2005). *Step by step to meeting God*. Tehran: Elami. [in Persian]